# SOME GENERALIZATIONS ON COUNTING BINARY STRINGS

JOSHUA T. ABBOTT NEW COLLEGE OF FLORIDA

ABSTRACT. Extending R. Grimaldi's work on binary strings and Jacobsthal numbers for the language  $A = \{0, 01, 11\}$ , we will examine some general properties for counting binary languages. We focus mainly on counts for the number of strings of length n inside the Kleene closure of a given language. We will discuss how these counts are affected when adding additional elements to a language. We also present counts for the number of 0's and 1's inside these binary strings of length n.

Key Phrases: binary strings, Jacobsthal numbers, symbol codes

## 1. Introduction - Trivial Generalizations of $a_n$

Starting with the alphabet  $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$ , let A be the language  $\{0, 01, 11\}$ , a subset of  $\Sigma^*$ , the Kleene closure of  $\Sigma$ , as in Grimaldi[1]. Let  $a_n$  count the number of distinct binary strings of length n in  $A^*$ , the Kleene closure of A. Then  $a_n = a_{n-1} + 2a_{n-2}$ , for all  $n \geq 3$ , with  $a_1=1, a_2=3$ ; because to obtain a string of length n, we either append 0 to the right of a string of length n-2 in  $A^*$ . Since the initial conditions can be determined easily, we could solve this recurrence relation to obtain  $a_n = J_n$ , the  $n^{th}$  Jacobsthal number. The rest of this paper will only be concerned with finding recurrence relations in general for binary languages.

Let  $A^c$  be the bitwise complement of a language A. For example, if  $A = \{0, 01, 11\}$  as before, then  $A^c = \{1, 10, 00\}$ , and by an analogous argument as given before,  $a^c{}_n = a^c{}_{n-1} + 2a^c{}_{n-2}$ . Thus, A and  $A^c$  share the same  $a_n$ , the number of binary strings of length n. It is also rather trivial to note if  $\Sigma \subseteq A$ , then  $a_n = 2^n$ .

One last simple general result is if  $A=\{\ x_1,\ x_2,\ \dots,\ x_m\ \}$  with  $|x_1|=|x_2|=\ldots=|x_m|=\beta,$  then

$$a_n = \begin{cases} m^{\frac{n}{\beta}} & n(mod\beta) \equiv 0\\ 0 & else \end{cases}$$

# 2. Some Non-trivial Generalizations of $a_n$

**Definition 2.1.** A code C over an alphabet  $\Sigma$  is uniquely decipherable if whenever  $c_1, \ldots, c_k, d_1, \ldots, d_j$  are codewords in C and

$$c_1 \dots c_k = d_1 \dots d_j$$

then k = j, and  $c_i = d_i$ , for all i = 1, ..., k.

A code is analogous to a language, with codewords being the elements of the language. We will use the terms code and language interchangeably.

**Proposition 2.1.** If A is a language with  $k_i$  elements of length i, then

 $a_n \leq \sum k_i a_{n-i}$ 

with equality iff A is uniquely decipherable.

*Proof.* The summation  $\sum k_i a_{n-i}$  is simply a count of appending strings of length  $k_i$  to the previous strings of length  $a_{n-i}$ . If the language A is uniquely decipherable, then every string in  $A^*$  can be decomposed in exactly one way; thus  $a_n = \sum k_i a_{n-i}$  in this case. Otherwise, if A is not uniquely decipherable, there are multiple decompositions of strings in  $A^*$ , thus  $a_n$  is strictly less than  $\sum k_i a_{n-i}$ .

**Example 2.1.** Let  $A = \{0, 01, 11\}$  as in Grimaldi[1]. Then  $k_1 = 1$ ,  $k_2 = 2$ , and  $a_n \leq (1)a_{n-1} + (2)a_{n-2}$ . Further, A is uniquely decipherable, so  $a_n = a_{n-1} + 2a_{n-2}$ .

**Theorem 2.1** (McMillan's Theorem). If  $C = \{c_1, c_2, ..., c_q\}$  is a uniquely decipherable binary code with  $l_i = length(c_i)$ , then its codeword lengths  $l_1, l_2, ..., l_q$  must satisfy Kraft's inequality:

$$\sum_{k=1}^q \frac{1}{2^{l_k}} \le 1$$

*Proof.* Refer to [2] for a standard proof of this theorem.

Example 2.2. Let  $A = \{0, 01, 11\}$  as in Grimaldi[1]. Then  $l_1 = 1, l_2 = 2$ ,  $l_3 = 2, q = 3, and \sum_{k=1}^{q} \frac{1}{2^{l_k}} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4} = 1$ .

Since the summation equals 1, adding more elements to A violates Kraft's inequality and will result in a language that is not uniquely decipherable, and thus the count  $a'_n$  for this resulting language will be strictly less than  $\sum k_i a'_{n-i}$ .

There exist methods to compute  $a_n$  if A is not uniquely decipherable. We present the following three cases. **Case 1 (Linear Dependence):** We can find a linearly independent set from the elements of the language A by removing the linearly dependent elements to yield language A' with an equivalent count  $a_n \equiv a'_n$ .

**Example 2.3.**  $A = \{0, 00\}$  can be reduced to  $A' = \{0\}$ , and thus  $a_n = a_{n-1}$ , with  $a_1 = 1$ , clearly.

**Example 2.4.**  $A = \{0, 10, 11, 001110\}$  can be reduced to  $A' = \{0, 10, 11\}$ , since 001110 = 2(0) + (10) + (11). A' is uniquely decipherable, thus  $a_n = a_{n-1} + 2a_{n-2}$ .

**Case 2 (Symmetry):** If there exist elements (x)(y) and (y)(x) in A, with either x or y also also an element in A, then there is a function f(n) to account for the strings in  $A^*$  that have multiple decompositions. Thus  $a_n = \sum k_i a_{n-i} - f(n)$ .

**Example 2.5.** Let  $A = \{0, 01, 10\}$ . We see  $010 \in A^*$  decomposes as both (0)(10) and (01)(0). The resulting  $f(n) = a_{n-3}$  and thus  $a_n = a_{n-1} + 2a_{n-2} - a_{n-3}$ .

**Case 3 (Least Common Multiple):** If there exist elements x, y in A such that  $z \in A^*$  can be decomposed as (x)(y) or (y)(x), or a string  $z' \in A^*$  that can be decomposed as either i copies of (x) or j copies of (y), then there is a function g(n) to account for the strings in  $A^*$  that have multiple decompositions. Thus  $a_n = \sum k_i a_{n-i} - g(n)$ .

**Example 2.6.** Let  $A = \{1, 00, 000\}$ . We see  $00000 \in A^*$  decomposes as both (00)(000) and (000)(00), and  $000000 \in A^*$  decomposes as both (00)(00)(00) and (000)(000). As a result,  $g(n) = a_{n-3} - a_{n-4}$  and thus  $a_n = a_{n-1} + a_{n-2} + a_{n-3} - (a_{n-3} - a_{n-4}) = a_{n-1} + a_{n-2} + a_{n-4}$ .

The methods used in these cases or a combination of them result in an exact computation for  $a_n$ .

### 3. Zeros and Ones in the $a_n$ Binary Strings

Let  $z_n$  and  $w_n$  count the number of zeros and ones respectively that occur among the  $a_n$  binary strings of length n in  $A^*$ .

**Example 3.1.** Let  $A = \{0, 01, 11\}$  as in Grimaldi[1]. Then  $z_n = (z_{n-1} + a_{n-1}) + (z_{n-2} + a_{n-2}) + (z_{n-2})$  where  $(z_{n-1} + a_{n-1})$  takes the previous count of zeros and sums this with the number of elements in  $a_{n-1}$  since we are adding one 0 to each,  $(z_{n-2} + a_{n-2})$  takes the penultimate count of zeros and sums this with the number of elements in  $a_{n-2}$  since we are adding a two bit string 01 which has just one 0, and  $(z_{n-2})$  accounts for adding the two bit string 11 to every element in  $a_{n-2}$ , but since there are no new zeros in 11, we simply use the previous zero count  $z_{n-2}$ .

 $w_n = (w_{n-1}) + (w_{n-2} + a_{n-2}) + (w_{n-2} + 2a_{n-2})$  is constructed from a similar argument as given above.

It is clear that  $w_n + z_n = na_n$  and thus  $w_n + z_n \leq n \sum k_i a_{n-i}$ . There is an interesting underlying structure to these counts that may reveal methods of finding exact counts for  $w_n$  and  $z_n$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** Let  $m_j$  count the number of occurrences of 0 in strings of length j in A, and let  $k_i$  count the number of elements of length i in A. Then

$$z_n \le \sum (k_i z_{n-i}) + \sum (m_j a_{n-j})$$

with equality iff A is uniquely decipherable.

In lieu of a proof, consider a deeper discussion of the previous example (3.1). As previously shown,  $z_n = (z_{n-1} + a_{n-1}) + (z_{n-2} + a_{n-2}) + (z_{n-2})$ . Rearranging the terms, we get  $z_n = (z_{n-1} + 2z_{n-2}) + (a_{n-1}) + (a_{n-2})$ . Similarly, as previously shown,  $w_n = (w_{n-1}) + (w_{n-2} + a_{n-2}) + (w_{n-2} + 2a_{n-2})$ , and rearranging terms we get  $w_n = (w_{n-1} + 2w_{n-2}) + (3a_{n-2})$ . Recall that  $a_n = a_{n-1} + 2a_{n-2}$  for this language. So  $w_n$  and  $z_n$  can be constructed from the count  $a_n$  and terms that account for the number of zeros and ones respectively in the  $a_{n-i}$  strings. A formal proof is analogous to counting argument given for Proposition 2.1.

We have not developed methods to derive exact counts for  $w_n$  and  $z_n$ , but conjecture they are similar to the methods used in the three cases given for computing  $a_n$ .

#### 4. Conclusion

We have reviewed methods to compute  $a_n$  for arbitrary binary languages and also a bound for counting the number of zeros and ones in these  $a_n$ strings. The examples given should help clarify the efficacy of the methods and motivate deeper investigation.

#### 5. References

1.) Grimaldi, R., Binary Strings and the Jacobsthal Numbers. *Congressus Numerantium*, Volume 174, 2005, Pp. 3-22.

2.) Roman, S., *Coding and Information Theory*. Published by Springer, 1992.

E-mail address: joshua.abbott@ncf.edu